

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ML

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/525,569	02/25/2005	Terry Cassaday	56836.40/ejg	3042	
33797 7590 02/01/2007 MILLER THOMPSON, LLP			EXAMINER		
Scotia Plaza			MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM		
40 King Street West, Suite 5800 TORONTO, ON M5H 3S1		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/525,569	CASSADAY, TERRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarah B. McPartlin	3636		

Before the filling of all Appear Biller	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Sarah B. McPartlin	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, wh	ichover in léter. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of examples and the second of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	nliance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	aa af tha data af
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
B. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE being (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or		ducing of simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6-8, 16 and 19 we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	·	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-8,10,11,18 and 19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,9,12-17 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-0,9,72-17 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
0.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s):	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	·
3.  Other:	•		
		Jung	7
		DAVID R PRIMARY E	I. DUNN EXAMINER
		*********	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues containing new limitations not previously considered are presented in claim 1, lines 5-7; claim 3, lines 2; claim 20, lines 1, 3, 6, 10 13; and in claims 21-25. The limitation would require further consideration.